



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,121	02/27/2002	Hiroshi Tsuda	826.1792	3398
79326	7590	08/22/2008		
Fujitsu Patent Center C/O Intellevate LLC P.O. Box 52050 Minneapolis, MN 52050			EXAMINER NGUYEN, CINDY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/083,121

Applicant(s)

TSUDA, HIROSHI

Examiner

CINDY NGUYEN

Art Unit

2161

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CINDY NGUYEN.

(3) _____.

(2) Tiep H. Nguyen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08/19/08.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Olstad et al. (US 20020032772).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner agrees that it appears on the face that the Olstad does not teach calculating a popularity transition degree indicating both a direction and a degree of transition of the popularity degree for each of the document based on the popularity degree as claimed. The Examiner prefers to carefully reconsider and further update the search after receive official responses from Applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Cindy Nguyen/
Examiner, Art Unit 2161

/Apu Mofiz/
SPE AU 2161